America First!

Published Monthly

The American Nationalist

Fight Communist Treason!

Vol. 6, No. 58 September, 1958 $3.00 Annually

SUPREME COURT'S RED RECORD HIT

Jews Discriminate Equally Against Whites & Negroes

SPECIAL—No one who has observed the workings of organized Jewry in this country can escape the conclusion that Jews persistently pursue and advocate what amounts to a double standard for Jews and non-Jews—a double standard under which they regularly arrogate for themselves a code of conduct which they bitterly condemn in others. It is a paradoxical fact that the more guilty the Jews are of a certain practice, the more vociferous they are in denouncing others for the same offense.

Thus, no group in all America is more critical of the white Christian majority for its "racial prejudice," for its "religious bigotry" or its "narrow nationalism" than organized Jewry. Yet no group practices racial discrimination and religious bigotry more ardently than the Jews; no group is more fanatically and rabidly nationalistic than they.

The matter of racial integration is a case in question. Jewish organizations are ever in the forefront of the fight to destroy all racial barriers against race mixing in the schools, in the churches, at the altar and elsewhere. Professional propaganda organizations such as the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, the Ameri-

(Continued Page 4, Column 1)

U.S. Navy Stuck With Yiddish Martyr Again

WASHINGTON, D.C.—Last month a young naval commander from Tennessee by the name of Wm. R. Anderson made headline news when he piloted the U.S. submarine, Nautilus, under the north pole for the first time in history. The feat opened a new vista in naval strategy, if indeed it did not revolutionize it, by proving that atomic powered submarines lurking undetected beneath the polar ices can reach almost any part of Soviet Russia with hydrogen or atomic warheads.

By any standard Cmdr. Anderson's accomplishment was a monu-

(Continued Page 3, Column 1)

In a highly significant shift of strategy, the Eisenhower administration has apparently decided against the use of Federal troops to enforce racial integration in Southern schools for the time being. Instead, specially deputized Federal marshals in plainclothes, will probably be used, along with the FBI. The plan is to arrest or coerce opponents of compulsory integration quietly—as was done at Hoxie, Ark., Clinton, Tenn., and elsewhere. The tactic is a favorite one in Iron Curtain countries, where a knock on the door at midnight is deemed much more effective than a raw display of force . . .

"Black Supremacy"

WASHINGTON, D.C.—The radical "civil rights" division of the justice department is now attempting to dictate how a Southern state shall conduct its own elections. The move, which was announced on Sept. 4th, came only a few days after Congress had adjourned. It marks the first time the justice department has attempted to interfere with local election procedures since Congress enacted the so-called "Civil Rights" bill in 1957. Selected for the test case is Terrell County, Georgia, which has almost twice as many Negroes as whites. The action is interpreted as an attempt to defranchise millions of white voters by turning Southern polls over to Negro control, thereby replacing "white supremacy" with "black supremacy" . . .

Senator Eastland Assails Warren Court's Communist-Aiding Record In Smashing New Senate Expose

WASHINGTON, D.C.—In one of the most smashing attacks ever delivered against the Supreme Court, Senator James O. Eastland in a Senate speech last month (July 10) revealed just how red the nation's highest tribunal has become since Earl Warren assumed control 4½ years ago. With methodical care Senator Eastland broke down the Supreme Court's record of decisions affecting the Communist Party since its formation in 1919. The pattern he revealed was nothing short of frightening.

Senator Eastland's investigation showed that since 1919 the Supreme Court had rendered 84 decisions affecting the Communist Party in one way or another. Forty five of these cases were ruled on in the 34 year period between 1919 and 1953, while an incredible total of 39 have been decided during the 4½ years Earl Warren has been chief justice.

As Senator Eastland pointed out, the very fact that the Warren Court consented to hear so many cases involving the CP is extremely significant, but even more shocking was the way the decisions went.

The record shows that the pre-Warren Supreme Courts ruled 26 times against the Communist Party—and 19 times in favor of it. But the Warren Court has rendered no less than 30 decisions favorable to the Communists, and only nine unfavorable ones. In short, Earl Warren & Co. have actually handed down more decisions favorable to the Communists

(Continued Page 4, Column 1)

Rabbis Demand Schools Abandon Christmas

MIAMI BEACH—U.S. Jews want America's public schools to abandon the observance of Christmas and other Christian holidays—and they want official government recognition of Saturday as the Jewish sabbath. The Rabbinical Council of America made these demands known last month at a convention in Miami Beach attended by 500 Orthodox rabbis.

The Rabbinical Council—largest rabbinical organization in the world—demanded among other things that . . . "the American military establishment give full legal and social recognition to the Sabbath as a holy day of religious significance for Jews." The Rabbinical Council also recommended that . . . "all private and government supported colleges and universities permit Orthodox students to be excused from taking course examinations on Saturdays and the Jewish holidays."

Striking out against the observance of Christmas and Easter in the public schools, the Council also demanded that . . . "all religious holiday observances in the public schools be discontinued. These joint holiday celebrations," moaned the rabbis, "violate the outstanding American principle of separation of Church and state."

The 500 rabbis in attendance also: 1) heard an address by Yaakov Herzog, son of Israel's chief rabbi and plenipotentiary of the state of Israel; 2) criticized the Federal District Court in Little Rock for delaying the integration of Central High School there; 3) condemned the playing of bingo and other games of chance in the synagogues . . .

Urban League Spearheads Race War With Community Chest Funds

NEW YORK—Next to the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), the most active apparatus in America attempting to force compulsory mongrelization upon this nation is the organization known as the Urban League. Because the more radical NAACP usually seizes the headlines, little if any attention has been given the Urban League's activities, which are actually conducted on as large a scale, and are just as damaging as those of the NAACP. In truth the Urban League's goals, if not its tactics, are identical in every way with those of the NAACP.

A typical example of how the Urban League works came to light last month in New York City, which earlier this year (April) adopted legislation making it a crime for multiple dwelling and apartment house owners to refuse to rent or sell to Negroes.

What the Urban League did was to compile a list of some 93 large

(Continued Page 2, Column 1)

REMEMBER LITTLE ROCK!

105-22921-69

Racial Agitation Laid To Churchmen

Presbyterians Produce Propaganda Movie

PHILADELPHIA—From their behavior it is sometimes difficult to determine whether the social actionists at Presbyterian headquarters in Philadelphia are concerned with the propagation of the Christian religion, or whether they are more devoted to the task of popularizing their own brand of political and social doctrine. The evidence strongly suggests, however, that politics is their primary interest, even though they are careful to sugar coat their activities with a protective veneer of pseudo-Christianity.

The latter tactic is not always easy to see through. Whatever they favor, be it federal aid to education, more racial integration, an increased foreign loan to India or some other equally partisan project, they invariably claim the objective in question as a "Christian goal," and thus deserving of the support of every Christian . . . An approach like that is hard to get around, especially when presented from the pulpit on a Sunday morning—or in church literature.

The fact remains, however, that considerable sums of Presbyterian church funds are being diverted for purposes of outright political agitation, and church money is being used in support of projects, organizations and causes which the average member of the Presbyterian church would not support of his own free will.

Last year, to cite an example, the head of the Presbyterian hierarchy, Eugene Carson Blake, allocated the sum of $10,000 in Presbyterian funds to "underwrite" the legal expenses of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) in South Carolina in one of the most flagrant and inexcusable displays of political meddling ever recorded. Blake's excuse was that NAACP leaders were being "persecuted" in the South by "bigots," and that Presbyterian intervention (and funds) was necessary to keep them from being imprisoned . . . In support of this stand the official organ of Presbyterianism, "Presbyterian Life," ran a sob story describing the sad plight of the NAACP in the South, which it described as "essentially" a Christian organization, and ended up with a plea for contributions to support the NAACP martyrs . . .

That's a little hard to believe, but the details are to be found in the January 5th, 1957, issue of "Presbyterian Life"—generously larded with sanctimony, of course.

Nor is that the only case where left wing social actionists at Presbyterian headquarters have been caught with their political pants down. Later in 1957 (in December) the official publishing house of the Presbyterian church, Westminster Press, printed a book authored by the renegade Communist churchman, Joseph Hromadka. Since Hromadka's reputation as a Communist apologist is universally known in church circles (a fact admitted by "Presbyterian Life" in reviewing his book) there can be no question of an innocent mistake in this instance: the Presbyterian hierarchy deliberately and intentionally published a book written by a Communist . . .


Benjamin Mays has a long and well documented record of affiliations and associations with Communist-front organizations. He served as "technical advisor" for the Presbyterian produced propaganda film, "Face of the South".

This is Joseph Hromadka, the Communist 'theologian" who received the $25,000 'Lenin Peace Prize" from the Kremlin earlier this year in recognition of his services to Communism. Notwithstanding his nauseous reputation as a mouthpiece for Communism, however, the Presbyterian hierarchy in Philadelphia has published, and is currently distributing, Hromadka's newest book, "Theology Between Yesterday and Tomorrow". It's a typical example of how Presbyterian church funds and facilities are being diverted to spread and propagate political doctrine instead of Christianity.

Barely six months later, on May 29th of this year, the Kremlin bestowed its highest award, the $25,000 "Stalin Peace Prize" (now dubbed the "Lenin Peace Prize") upon comrade Hromadka in recognition of his services to world Communism. Even so, Presbyterian book stores and Westminster Press are continuing to sell Hromadka's book, "Theology Between Yesterday and Tomorrow," as though nothing had happened . . .

Perhaps, though, they were too engrossed in their latest propaganda venture to notice. For now another sordid chapter in the Presbyterian church's disgraceful program of social action has been revealed. This time it is a propaganda motion picture. The film is entitled: "Face of the South" and it purports to explain the race problem in the South—from a pro-integration standpoint, of course.

"Face of the South" comes as near being a total propaganda production as any picture could be. To begin with, it was financed with money put up by the notorious Ford Foundation's "Fund for the Republic"—which is a dead giveaway in itself. The picture was produced as a joint venture of the Presbyterian Church (USA) and the National Council of Churches.

Narrating the film is George Sinclair Mitchell, for ten years director of the Communist-tinged Southern Regional Council—which serves virtually as an arm of the NAACP in the fight to force racial mongrelization upon the South. Serving as technical advisors for the picture are H. B. Sissel and Benjamin Mays.

Who is Benjamin Mays? It just so happens that he is a Board Director of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), and it also happens that he has been cited as a member of no less than six Communist-front organizations, including the Communist "Civil Rights Congress," by the House Committee on Un-American Activities.

Here, then, we have a Presbyterian-produced picture on race relations which is financed by the "Fund for the Republic," which is narrated by a director of the pro-Communist "Southern Regional

(Continued Page 4, Column 4)

URBAN LEAGUE

(Continued From Page 1)

apartment house developments throughout the city—virtually all occupied by whites. The list, complete with the addresses and telephone numbers of rental agents, was made available to New York Negroes from the Urban League's headquarters at 204 West 136th St. Also available was a list of 45 housing developments in New York, Long Island and New Jersey where private homes are being offered for sale.

The Urban League's obvious purpose, of course, was to incite and encourage Negroes to fan out through the New York City area into all-white residential districts, using the city's recently enacted "anti-discrimination" housing law as a bludgeon to coerce apartment house and home owners to rent or sell to them. New York law calls for the fining or imprisonment of any property owner refusing to rent to coloreds, or of any home owner who refuses to sell to them.

Although the most probable result of the Urban League's attempt to seed New York City's remaining white areas with trouble making Negro "block-busters" will be to hasten the exodus of whites from the already stricken city, the tactic provides a graphic illustration of what the organization is doing, and how it works.

This is a point worth noting, because the Urban League derives much of its financial support from Community Chest and United Fund Drives throughout the country—as a "charitable" organization. In other words, money which Americans contribute toward the support of charity through their Community Chest is being diverted to support the Urban League, whose one and only function is to force racial "integration" upon the American people.

At least two cities in the South have forced their Community Chests to drop the Urban League from their rolls. The example is one which other cities should promptly follow. As it stands now, every time you contribute to the Community Chest, a part of it goes to the Urban League, which is working night and day to force Negroes into your neighborhood, schools, swimming pools and churches as a part of its "integration" program.

NOTE: Aside from its support of the Urban League, there are other reasons why Americans should give a second thought before contributing to the Community Chest. In Los Angeles, for example, more than 20 Jewish organizations are recipients of Community Chest subsidies—notwithstanding the fact that United Jewish Appeal raises almost as much annually in southern California as the Community Chest. Numerous other groups, including several interracial projects, also get Community Chest funds. The long and the short of it is that Americans are, through the Community Chest, being conned into giving money for the support of groups which they would not ordinarily support on their own merits, and which often they are opposed to. In that sense the Community Chest has become a fraud and a fund raising front. It is obviously time for a house cleaning. Most certainly no one who is opposed to compulsory racial mongrelization should give to the Community Chest until the Urban League is dropped from its rolls.

Rickover Is Badly Abused By Navy



Eisenhower kicked up a storm of Yiddish indignation when he pinned this Navy decoration on young Cmdr. Anderson. The ceremony, which was attended by high ranking Navy and Defense Dept. officials, was held at the White House in recognition of Cmdr. Anderson's historic voyage under the North Pole as captain of the submarine, Nautilus. Although the affair was strictly in honor of Cmdr. Anderson's heroic exploit, Jewish propagandists immediately screamed "discrimination" because Hyman Rickover, the much touted "father" of the atomic submarine, was not similarly honored. (After all, Anderson used a submarine, didn't he?). Later, Rickover's wife also got in the act when she complained because the Navy had not invited her to christen a ship.

(Continued from Page 1)

mental one, and in the first flush of excitement there were some who predicted he would someday be ranked with Admirals Byrd and Perry as one of the great explorers in Navy history. Others compared the exploit with that of Charles Lindberg's history-making and trail blazing flight over the Atlantic 30 years ago.

For a brief moment, anyway, young Cmdr. Anderson did enjoy a hero's reward. Flown in from Iceland by plane and helicopter, he was awarded the coveted Legion of Merit by President Eisenhower himself. Present at the White House ceremony (in addition to some 75 newsmen) were high ranking members of the Atomic Energy Commission and top commanders of the Navy and Defense Department. It was in truth a moment of glory for young Cmdr. Anderson, and one he fully deserved.

But the ink was hardly dry on the headlines when a note of discord set in and a new name, that of Hyman Rickover, began to replace that of Anderson in Washington news dispatches. Before the week was over Cmdr. Anderson's name had all but disappeared from the news and the Rickover story was front page copy.

It all began when Yiddish newspaper men at the White House ceremony, and their Gentile claque of sycophants, noticed that Hyman Rickover had not been invited to share in Anderson's honors. In fact, they discovered to their horror, he had not even been invited to the function. This, shrilled the Washington press corps, was an affront and an insult to the "father of the atomic submarine"—another example of "bigotry" and "discrimination" by Navy brass. Taking up the cry in Congress a bleeding heart group of Senators demanded the Navy award Rickover a special gold medal for his submarine work . . .

Appalled by the synthetic storm of public indignation thus generated, the Navy quickly "apologized" to Rickover for not inviting him to the White House affair, explaining that its . . . **"preoccupation with the operational significance of . . . our fleet"** had caused Rickover to be left out. Navy and White House attaches also took pains to point out to newsmen that only a few high ranking officers attended the White House function for Anderson, and that lack of space precluded a large invitation list.

All of which was to little avail. By this time the Hearst press was in full bray with a series of "exclusive" reports on the Navy's "snub" to Rickover, and the wire services avidly fed similar material to the rest of the nation's press. Hearst reporters soon discovered that not only was Hymie Rickover being "discriminated" against, but his suffering wife was also being shamefully abused.

Her complaint, it turned out, was that she had been passed over for the honor of christening the giant submarine, "Triton," launched last month in Groton, Mass. What is more, she shrilled, she had been similarly ignored on eight previous occasions. This, she averred, was rank "discrimination" by Navy higher ups, whom she waspishly assailed for their **"bright and smiling smugness."** They were, she told reporters, **"stupid windbags"** who were determined to . . . **"hurt my husband for his free and independent spirit"** . . .

Hastily Navy officials acted to mollify Mrs. Rickover. She would, they announced wearily, be permitted to christen a submarine in the very near future . . .

Even that did not settle the furor, because now the chant went up that Rickover, who is 58, will soon be up for automatic retirement because a Navy selection board has failed to recommend him for promotion to vice admiral. **"Two promotion boards,"** screached the Jew-catering Hearst press, **"have passed over Rickover in selecting new vice admirals . . . Senator Clinton P. Anderson, one of Rickover's principal congressional supporters . . . suggested last night that Congress intervene and promote Rickover by special legislation."**

Hyman Rickover, a pushing little Russian-Jew, always manages to pose as a martyr—even when stealing another man's glory.

So the Rickover controversy continued. And although young Cmdr. Anderson, whose appearance at the White House had set off the big fuss, was all but forgotten in the melee, there was every reason to believe that the affair of Hyman Rickover would continue to give the Navy trouble for a long time—especially if it fails to promote him to vice admiral within the coming year.

All of which leaves unanswered the question of just why Hyman Rickover, the so-called "father of the atomic submarine," was snubbed in the first place. Also hanging is the question of why such a valuable man is being automatically retired because of age—unless Congress intervenes.

For the answer to these questions it is first of all necessary to penetrate the air of Jewish hysteria and propaganda which has surrounded the case thus far. To begin with, the claim that Rickover was slighted and "snubbed" is completely without foundation. The Navy and defense officials who gathered at the White House last Aug. 8th were not there to honor the atomic submarine, its maker, designer, builder or "father." They were there solely to commemorate the heroic achievement of Cmdr. Anderson in piloting the first submarine under the north pole. The Navy's failure to invite Rickover to the ceremony was hardly a slight under the circumstances. If it was a snub, then the Wright brothers, who invented the airplane, were also snubbed because no one invited them to share in Lindberg's glory back in 1928 . . .

A number of other misconceptions concerning Rickover likewise need to be set to rest. His designation as the "father of the atomic submarine," for instance, is in itself a fraud. The fact is that Rickover, a pushing, ambitious little Russian Jew, managed to insinuate himself into control of the Navy's atomic submarine division through his Jewish connections with the Atomic Energy Commission shortly after the end of the war—before the Navy realized what was happening. Ever since they have been trying to ease him out.

The claim that Rickover is solely responsible for the atom sub, and that except for him the Navy would never have developed a nuclear powered submarine, is on its face ludicrous. The U.S. Navy has been building ships of every description for almost 200 years. It builds equipment ranging all the way from aircraft carriers to guided missiles to submarines to electronic radar. To argue that the Navy would not have, and could not have, built an atom submarine without Rickover's "guiding genius" is a farce and a joke, and no one who knows anything about it takes the claim seriously. Nevertheless the public is constantly deluged with propaganda to the effect that save for Rickover, our stupid Naval chiefs would never have gotten around to building atomic submarines at all . . .

As for the claim that Navy "prejudice" is forcing Rickover's retirement by refusing to promote him to the rank of vice admiral: the same situation came up 3 years ago. Then Rickover, a captain, was slated for compulsory retirement because the Navy was reluctant to promote him to the rank of rear admiral—claiming that he lacked the personal and professional qualifications for the position. Then, as now, such a howl went up from the Jew-controlled section of the commercial press that Congress stepped into the picture, held up the promotions of other Naval officers until Rickover's case could be considered. The outcome was that the then secretary of the Navy, Anderson, appointed a specially instructed Naval review board whose sole function was to promote Rickover. That's how he got to be rear admiral. Now, through a similar propaganda and pressure campaign, they are striving to elevate "Hymie" to the rank of vice admiral. That, in brief, is the story behind the hassle over the Rickover "snub."

As for the Navy's alleged "snub" of Rickover's wife: she has no more claim to the honor of christening a ship than scores of other Navy wives, many of whom have lost husbands and sons at sea in Navy service. Now, to satisfy her petty and selfish vanity, some Navy mother or widow will have to step aside so Mrs. Rickover can have her moment of glory . . .

All in all it's a pretty sordid little mess. It's a sad situation indeed when a hero of Cmdr. Anderson's stature cannot be decorated without wounding the vanity and ambition of some dinky little Yiddish armchair commando of Rickover's stripe. He ought to be thrown out of the service on his ear, and if the top Navy commanders had their way, that's precisely what would happen . . .

Bulk rates of the American Nationalist as follows: 12 copies $1.00; 30 copies $2.00; 100 copies $5.00.

Press Report

SPECIAL—A survey by the authoritative U. S. News & World Report (Sept. 5th issue) reveals that school integration will make very little headway this coming year, the Supreme Court notwithstanding. According to the evidence, there may actually be less race mixing in the schools this year than last term, since tens of thousands of white pupils in Washington, D.C., Baltimore and other northern cities are fleeing to the still segregated suburbs to escape integration. Seven states in the deep South have no mixed schools at all. Several others have only token integration (i.e. N. Carolina has integrated 4 Negroes, Tennessee 33, Arkansas less than 100.)

LOS ANGELES—In California Senator Wm. (turncoat) Knowland, who more than any other man in the U.S. Senate was responsible for ramming the vicious "civil rights" bill through Congress last year, faces the prospect of an ignominious defeat in his race for the governorship come November. His sponsorship of the "civil rights" bill, his advocacy of Hawaiian statehood, his acceptance of the B'nai B'rith civil rights award (on behalf of the Senate) and other compromising behavior has alienated most of his extreme rightwing support. Some 400,000 Republicans voted for his opponent in the primary . . .

TEL AVIV—A debate in the Israeli Knesset (Parliament) broke out last month over the fact that 145,000 Jews (almost 10% of the Jewish population) have emigrated to other countries since 1948. Israel's economy, which is more thoroughly Communized than that of Soviet Russia, is reportedly driving many young Jews to seek better opportunities in the capitalistic Gentile countries . . .

LONDON—The recent outbreak of racial violence in England (one incident involving an estimated 2,000 persons) underscores the fact that Englishmen, like some Americans, find racial "tolerance" easier to preach than to practice. The flareups occurred as a consequence of large scale Negro immigration into England—100,000 within the last five years or so. Before that very few Britishers had ever had contact with Negroes . . .

WASHINGTON, D.C.—When Ralph Flanders (Vt.) rose on the floor of the U. S. Senate a few weeks ago to demand an investigation of the tax-exempt status of Israeli fund raising groups in this country (particularly of United Jewish Appeal) no one denounced him more bitterly than Senator Paul Douglas, notorious for his pro-Negro, pro-Jewish bias—and for his militant advocacy of everything that is left wing and "liberal" . . . Last month Paul Douglas's daughter, Jean, married a Jew from Israel. And the ironic thing about it was that the marriage had to be performed in this country—because the state of Israel does not permit Jews to marry non-Jews. Furthermore, unless Douglas's daughter is converted to Judaism, the Israeli government will regard her children as "illegitimate"—as it does all children born of "mixed" marriages . . .

DOUBLE STANDARD

(Continued From Page 1)

can Jewish Committee (AJC), the American Jewish Congress and others expend millions of dollars annually (the ADL-AJC yearly budget alone is $5,000,000.00) to promote racial "tolerance," and to encourage the admixture of the races.

They can do all these things because the Christian majority, not they, bear the consequences. They can and do, for example, strenuously advocate racial integration in the churches, safe in the knowledge that while there are vast numbers of Protestant and Catholic Negroes, there are few if any colored Jews. They have no fear, in other words, of having their own synagogues swamped with Negroes. The same applies to interracial marriages, which Jewry is seeking to popularize with increasing fervor in the movies, on TV and by other means at their disposal. The catch is that no matter how much Jewish children are exposed to Negroes in the schools, on the playgrounds and elsewhere, they are in little danger of intermarrying with them. The reason is that Jews teach their children from babyhood that it is a sin and a disgrace to marry any non-Jew, black OR white . . . In brief, when organized Jewry beats the drums for unrestricted integration and the total mixing of the races, they are doing it for the benefit of the Gentiles, not themselves. They have no intention whatever of practicing what they preach. Their double standard protects them from the consequences of their own propaganda.

As for the question of nationalism, Jewish propagandists have made the term a nasty word in many circles, with the result that millions of Americans shy away from any display of love of country which might be interpreted as a show of "jingoism" or "superpatriotism." The Jews feel perfectly safe in diluting and undermining the patriotism of the Christian masses without any ill effect to themselves for the simple reason that they have no loyalty for America anyway; their allegiance is to Israel.

And so it goes. The most active advocates of "tolerance" are themselves the least tolerant; the most avid critics of bigotry and prejudice are themselves the most prejudiced and bigoted.

The situation demands immediate correction. For this nation to permit a small minority of rabid racists and Jewish extremists to destroy the very concept of patriotism, race and national pride among white Christian Americans is not a manifestation of tolerance on our part; it is national suicide, and can only lead to our ultimate destruction.

EASTLAND

(Continued From Page 1)

during the past 4½ years than all the preceding Supreme Courts combined rendered in the previous 34 years . . .

Pointing out that this record was no mere accident, Senator Eastland proceeded to give a breakdown of the individual voting records of the various Supreme Court justices. **"Hugo Black,"** observed Eastland bitterly, **"participated in a total of 71 cases and his batting average is an even 1,000. Seventy-one times he voted to sustain the position advocated by the Communists, and not one vote or one case did he decide to the contrary."**

Almost as bad is the record of justice Wm. O. Douglas, who has rendered 66 pro-Communist decisions—and 3 anti-Communist ones.

Felix Frankfurter has voted for the Communists 56 times—and against them 16 times.

Earl Warren, in a brief 4½ years, has decided for the Communists 36 times, and against them only 3 times.

Justice Brennan, who like Warren is an Eisenhower appointee, has decided 18 to 2 in favor of the Communists . . .

Summing up his case against the Supreme Court, Senator Eastland bitingly observed that . . . **"the one area where there seems to be some predictability with respect to the Warren court's action is where cases involve the interests of the world Communist conspiracy and its arm in this country, the Communist Party, U.S.A."**

"When delay is necessary to help the Communist cause, the Court delays" . . .

"When suppression would help the Communist cause, the Court has suppressed" . . .

"When pre-emption would help the Communist cause, the Court has pre-empted" . . .

"When invention would help the Communist cause, the Court has invented" . . .

"When misstatement would help the Communist cause, the Court has misstated" . . .

So declared James O. Eastland, chairman of the Communist-fighting Internal Security Committee, and one of the truly great members of the U.S. Congress. Neither the man nor his words should be taken lightly . . .

The Supreme Court should be curbed.

PRESBYTERIANS

(Continued From Page 2)

Council," and which has as one of its technical advisors an official of the NAACP who has six Communist-front affiliations to his credit. Such a picture is "Face of the South," which is being peddled to Presbyterian churches as a "Christian work" on race relations in the South . . .

SUMMARY: The pattern revealed here did not evolve by chance or accident. Granted that a church organization might occasionally slip up by aligning itself with a questionable group, or by supporting an objective of doubtful merit. But when within the period of one year we find the Presbyterian leadership: 1) providing funds for the NAACP and otherwise supporting its program; 2) publishing and distributing a book by a known Communist collaborator; 3) Producing a propaganda film such as "Face in the South" in collaboration with Benjamin Mays et al, then the conclusion is too obvious to ignore. Someone at Presbyterian headquarters in Philadelphia is more interested in promoting left-wing social and political doctrines than the Christian religion—and they are using church money and facilities to do it with . . .

Still to be answered is the question of just how long the Presbyterian laity is going to put up with that sort of nonsense.

Editor's Report

✓ *The August issue of the WHITE SENTINEL (editor: Helen Wolf)* carried an excellent expose of Frank Sinatra and his pro-Communist affiliations, including an account of Frankie's appearance before the House Committee on Un-American Activities in 1947. Sinatra's *current picture,* which he helped finance and produce, is the vicious "Kings Go Forth." In it Frankie attempts to popularize inter-racial romance . . .

✓ In Los Angeles Gerald L. K. Smith has performed a notable service by reprinting Henry Ford's famous book, "The International Jew." Our kept commercial press is careful never to mention it, but Henry Ford was "anti-Semitic" and spent considerable sums of money in exposing organized Jewry. These exposures were printed in the Ford-owned Dearborn Independent, and were distributed by Ford dealers from coast to coast. Later Ford had them printed in book form under the title of "The International Jew" . . .

Long suppressed by organized Jewry, the book was virtually unobtainable until Gerald Smith undertook to reprint it.

THE AMERICAN NATIONALIST

☐ One year $3.00
Box 301, Inglewood, Calif.

FRANK L. BRITTON, Editor

DISTRIBUTE EXTRA COPIES OF THE AMERICAN NATIONALIST!

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
3/21/2022 BY